## 11<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL TLSAMP RESEARCH CONFERENCE

February 27- 28, 2014

Vanderbilt University

Presenter(s): School: (circle one) LOC MTSU U of M TSU UT-Knoxville Vanderbilt TTU Fisk NSCC SWTCC  1. Creative Ability (20 Points) Does the research demonstrate originality of thinking and investigation of an original idea? Reviewer Comments:  2. Scientific Thought (30 Points) Does the research demonstrate evidence of well-organized work, proper of scientific methods in investigating the problem, and are concepts and investigations clearly demonstrated? Reviewer Comments:  3. Skill and Thoroughness (25 points) Does the research demonstrate evidence of careful workmanship, and a clearly organized presentation of the steps taken in conducting the investigation and presenting results and conclusions? Does the project give a complete explanation of the principle or process involved? Reviewer Comments:  4. Clarity and Presentation (15 points) Points Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience? Reviewer Comments:  5. Interview (10 Points) Points Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research? Reviewer Comments:  Total Points Overall Comments:	ORAL PRESENTATION JUDGING CRITERIA
School: (circle one) LOC MTSU U of M TSU UT-Knoxville Vanderbilt TTU Fisk NSCC SWTCC  1. Creative Ability (20 Points)	Title:
Does the research demonstrate originality of thinking and investigation of an original idea?  Reviewer Comments:  2. Scientific Thought (30 Points)  Does the research demonstrate evidence of well-organized work, proper of scientific methods in investigating the problem, and are concepts and investigations clearly demonstrated?  Reviewer Comments:  3. Skill and Thoroughness (25 points)  Does the research demonstrate evidence of careful workmanship, and a clearly organized presentation of the steps taken in conducting the investigation and presenting results and conclusions?  Does the project give a complete explanation of the principle or process involved?  Reviewer Comments:  4. Clarity and Presentation (15 points)  Points  Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience?  Reviewer Comments:  5. Interview (10 Points)  Points  Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research?  Reviewer Comments:  Total Points	School: (circle one) LOC MTSU U of M TSU UT-Knoxville Vanderbilt TTU Fish
Does the research demonstrate evidence of well-organized work, proper of scientific methods in investigating the problem, and are concepts and investigations clearly demonstrated?  Reviewer Comments:  3. Skill and Thoroughness (25 points)  Does the research demonstrate evidence of careful workmanship, and a clearly organized presentation of the steps taken in conducting the investigation and presenting results and conclusions?  Does the project give a complete explanation of the principle or process involved?  Reviewer Comments:  4. Clarity and Presentation (15 points)  Points  Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience?  Reviewer Comments:  5. Interview (10 Points)  Points  Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research?  Reviewer Comments:  Total Points	Does the research demonstrate originality of thinking and investigation of an original idea
Does the research demonstrate evidence of careful workmanship, and a clearly organized presentation of the steps taken in conducting the investigation and presenting results and conclusions?  Does the project give a complete explanation of the principle or process involved?  Reviewer Comments:  4. Clarity and Presentation (15 points)  Points  Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience?  Reviewer Comments:  5. Interview (10 Points)  Points  Points  Points  Reviewer Comments:  Total Points	Does the research demonstrate evidence of well-organized work, proper of scientific methods in investigating the problem, and are concepts and investigations clearly demonstrated?
Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience?  Reviewer Comments:  5. Interview (10 Points)  Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research?  Reviewer Comments:  Total Points	Does the research demonstrate evidence of careful workmanship, and a clearly organized presentation of the steps taken in conducting the investigation and presenting results and conclusions?  Does the project give a complete explanation of the principle or process involved?
Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research?  Reviewer Comments:	Is the presentation organized and conveyed in a manner that is easily understood, with an appropriate format that commands the attention and interest of the audience?
	Does the student(s) demonstrate sufficient knowledge and command of the areas covered by the research?
Uverali Comments:	
	Overall Comments: