Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Estimating the Intrinsic Dimension of High-Dimensional Data Sets

#### Anna V. Little Department of Mathematics, Jacksonville University

Collaborators: M. Maggioni (advisor, Duke University), L. Rosasco, Y. Jung, J. Lee, G. Chen

#### April 17, 2015 1st Annual Workshop on Data Sciences Tennessee State University, Nashville

Future Directions

**Problem**: Given a high-dimensional point cloud consisting of samples from a *k*-dimensional data set corrupted by *D*-dimensional noise, with  $k \ll D$ , we estimate the intrinsic dimension via a new multiscale algorithm that generalizes PCA.

Notation:

 $n \rightarrow$  sample size  $D \rightarrow$  ambient dimension  $k \rightarrow$  intrinsic dimension

Dimensionality estimation is important in many applications in machine learning, including:

- 1. signal processing
- 2. discovering number of variables in linear models
- 3. molecular dynamics
- 4. genetics
- 5. financial data

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

э

### Example: Database of Hand Images



Wrist rotation

Tenenbaum et al, A Global Geometric Framework for Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction, *Science* 290 (5500), Dec. 2000; image available at http://isomap.stanford.edu/

Future Directions

PCA: Classic Technique for Dimension Estimation

When data is linear and noiseless, this method cannot fail.

Given: *n* mean-zero samples  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^D$ .

Define a (centered) data matrix and empirical covariance matrix:

$$X_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \begin{bmatrix} -x_1 - \\ -x_2 - \\ \dots \\ -x_n - \end{bmatrix} \rightarrow C_n := X_n^T X_n$$

Computes the eigenvalues of  $C_n$ :  $\sigma_1^2 \ge \sigma_2^2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_D^2$ .

Intrinsic dimension = number of "large" eigenvalues.

Multiscale approact

Comparison 000 Future Directions

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

- $\rightarrow$  Advantages:
  - 1. Simple
  - 2. Low sample-size requirements
- $\rightarrow$  Disadvantages:
  - 1. Finite sample case is not completely understood; how many data points do we need for accurate results?
  - 2. Noise confuses the dimensionality.
  - 3. Fails on nonlinear data.

Example:  $\mathbb{S}^5$ ,  $\sigma_i^2(\operatorname{cov}(\mathbb{S}^5)) = \frac{1}{6}$  for  $1 \le i \le 6$ 

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Solution: Multiscale PCA

Many of these issues can be addressed by computing the singular values *locally*:

(Local PCA first developed by Fukunaga and Olsen, 1971)

- Cover data set with a net of cells.
- Compute the singular values in each local cell.
- Repeat procedure with larger and larger nets.



Multiscale approac

Comparison 000 Future Directions

#### Example:

- $\mathbb{S}^5$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{100}$
- 1000 noisy samples ( $\sigma = .05$ )



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

### Statement of Problem

- 1. Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  be *n* samples from a *k*-dimensional set  $\mathcal{M}$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^D$ .
- 2. Suppose data is corrupted by *D*-dimensional noise:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_i &= x_i + \sigma \eta_i \\ (\text{e.g. } \eta \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I_D)) \end{aligned} \qquad \qquad \tilde{X}_n = \begin{bmatrix} -\tilde{x}_1 - \\ -\tilde{x}_2 - \\ \\ \cdots \\ -\tilde{x}_n - \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Goal: Estimate the dimensionality k w.h.p. from  $\tilde{X}_n$ .

Multiscale Notation:

Fix center z 
$$\longrightarrow$$
 
$$\begin{cases} X(r) = \mathcal{M} \bigcap \mathcal{B}_{z}(r) \\ \tilde{X}_{n}(r) = \tilde{X}_{n} \bigcap \mathcal{B}_{\tilde{z}}(r) \end{cases}$$

Future Directions

# Algorithm to Estimate Dimensionality

Fix z. Let  $\{\sigma_i^2(r)\}_{i=1}^D$  be the squared singular values of  $\tilde{X}_n(r)$ .

- 1. Estimate noise level; discard small scales where noise dominates.
- 2. Classify the  $\sigma_i^2$  as follows:
  - linear growth in r: tangent plane squared singular value
  - quadratic growth in r: curvature squared singular value
  - no growth in r: noise squared singular value
- 3. Dimensionality at z = number of tangent plane  $\sigma_i^2$ 's

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

#### Recall sphere example:

- $\mathbb{S}^5$  embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^{100}$
- 1000 noisy samples ( $\sigma = .05$ )



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Future Directions

## Constraints to Good Range of Scale

- Curvature If r is chosen too large, the data will no longer appear linear, and PCA will overestimate the dimension.
  → upper bound on r
- Sample size If r is chosen too small, one could fail to have O(k log k) samples in each local cell, and PCA will underestimate the dimension due to lack of samples.
  → lower bound on r
- Noise If r is chosen too small relative to the size of the noise, the noise dominates and the k-dimensional structure is not detectable.
  - $\longrightarrow$  lower bound on r



Note:

- 1. One needs  $\mathbb{E}[||\eta||_{\mathbb{R}^D}^2] = O(1)$  (e.g.  $\sigma = \sigma_0 D^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ ) for the algorithm to succeed w.h.p.
- 2. Consistency  $(n \rightarrow +\infty)$  follows trivially from our analysis with niceness assumptions on the noise and curvature.

3. The random matrix scaling limit  $(n \to +\infty, D \to +\infty, \frac{n}{D} \to \gamma)$  is a particular case of our analysis.

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

## Idea of Proof:

- Approximate the data set by a linear manifold X<sup>||</sup>(r) and a normal correction X<sup>⊥</sup>(r).
  → ||cov(X<sup>||</sup>(r))|| ~ O(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>k</sub>r<sup>2</sup>)
  → ||cov(X<sup>⊥</sup>(r))|| ~ O(<sup>κ<sup>2</sup></sup>/<sub>k</sub>r<sup>4</sup>)
- 2. Bound covariance matrix perturbations due to curvature, sampling, and noise.
  - $\longrightarrow$  Sampling Theorems for Covariance Matrices
  - $\longrightarrow$  Random Matrix Theory
  - $\longrightarrow$  Concentration of Measure in High Dimensions
- 3. Conclude that  $\max_i \Delta_i = \Delta_k$  w.h.p.

Multiscale approact

Comparison •00 Future Directions

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Comparison with other algorithms

# Our algorithm:

- Requires O(k log k) points (under niceness assumptions on noise and curvature)
- Finite sample guarantees
- Only input:  $\tilde{X}_n$
- Discovers correct scale using multiscale approach

Multiscale approach

Comparison •00 Future Directions

# Comparison with other algorithms

# Our algorithm:

- Requires O(k log k) points (under niceness assumptions on noise and curvature)
- Finite sample guarantees
- Only input:  $\tilde{X}_n$
- Discovers correct scale using multiscale approach

Other algorithms:

- Volume based (they require  $O(2^k)$  points)
- Typically, no finite sample guarantees (at most consistent)
- Sensitive to noise
- Some involve many parameters
- Require user to specify correct scale (such as number of nearest neighors to consider)

Multiscale approach

Comparison

Future Directions

 $S^{5}(n = 250, D = 100, \sigma)$ 



SQA

æ

Multiscale approach

Comparison

Future Directions

 $\mathcal{S}(n=250, D=100, \sigma)$ 



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへ⊙

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

## Future Research & Extensions

- Extending results to collections of manifolds of different dimensionalities
- Proving why competing algorithms perform poorly with noise
- Use results to improve dimensionality reduction algorithms
- Employing techniques in various applications
  - Molecular Dynamics
  - Genetics
  - Financial data
- Developing a similar multiscale spectral approach for estimating the number of clusters in a data set.

Multiscale approach

Comparison 000 Future Directions

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Thank you! Questions?

<sup>1</sup>Email: alittle2@ju.edu