

PROPOSAL REVIEW FORM

Proposal Title:

Rate the following items on a scale of 1-5, 5= Excellent, 4=Good, 3=Average, 2=Deficient, 1=Not acceptable.

If needed, please include comments with your evaluation of this proposal. Your specific comments on the proposal's strengths and weaknesses are important and valuable.

1. Justification and Relevance

___ Issue addressed in the proposal is important to agriculture and/or rural life in Tennessee or the region.

___ Public welfare or scientific knowledge will be advanced by completion of the proposed activities.

___ Proposed project is relevant in relation to the PI's position at TSU.

___ Specific results to be achieved are presented in clear, complete and logically arranged statements.

___ The proposal has a testable research hypothesis.

2. Background Literature Review and References

___ Background information needed to understand the proposed research is clearly summarized.

___ Literature review is comprehensive and current.

___ References are correctly cited both in the text and literature list.

3. Research Design

___ Methods used to accomplish the objectives are technically sound and adequate to address the objectives.

___ As presented, the objectives are likely to provide a solution/answer to the problem/question.

___ Benefits to stakeholders are valid and clearly presented.

___ Level of requested personnel resources are appropriate for the activities described.

4. Overall Impression and Summary Comments

___ The proposal is clearly written.

___ Completion of the proposed work is likely to result in refereed publications.

___ Proposal, as written, is acceptable to meet the requirements for funding.

Overall Rating (check one) Overall average score:

Excellent: Outstanding proposal in all respects; deserves highest priority for support.

Good: High quality proposal in nearly all respects; should be supported if at all possible.

Average: A quality proposal, worthy of support.

Below Average: Proposal lacking in one or more critical aspects; key issues need to be addressed.

Not Acceptable: Proposal has serious deficiencies.

Comments: